

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998.622	10/31/2001	Jonathan K. Rick	83630DMW	4926
7590 04/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas H. Close			FOULADI SEMNANI, FARANAK	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2672	/0
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>.</b>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/998,622	RIEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faranak Fouladi	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	anuary 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Petert and Indepent Office.	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed on 10/31/2001.

- 2. Claims 1-17, 19-23 are pending in the case, with claims 1, 11, 21 and 22 being independent.
- 3. Claims 18 and 24 were cancelled.
- 4. The present title of the application is "Method and apparatus for generating image transitions" (as originally filed).
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-17, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jun et al. in Proceedings of the eighth ACM international conference on Multimedia published October 2000, hereafter Jun.

Art Unit: 2672

7. Regarding Independent claim 1, Jun teaches in section 3.1 first paragraph (on page 392) "In order to select candidates for dissolve transition in the compressed domain, we examine the spatio-temporal macro block type distribution of <u>each B-type frame</u> which is located right before or after anchor frame in display sequence." Jun further disclose "In Figure 2, <u>each selected frame is B-type frame</u> adjacent to an anchor frame." Furthermore, Jun disclose in first column of page 393 in the third paragraph "Note that <u>only B-type</u> frames which is adjacent to anchor frames <u>are</u> considered in this stage."

- 8. Regarding dependent claim 2,"the method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the pictures are comprised of macro blocks and wherein the insertion of the B pictures into the bitstream to create the transition from the first anchor picture to the second anchor picture comprises starting with a B picture in which most of the macro blocks are predicted from the first anchor picture and ending with a B picture in which most of the macro blocks are predicted from the second anchor picture." Jun teaches in section 3.1 on page 392.
- 9. Regarding dependent claim 3,"the method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the pictures are comprised of macro blocks and wherein the insertion of the B pictures into the bitstream to create the transition from the first anchor picture to the second anchor picture comprises switching a number of macro blocks in each of the B pictures from being forward predicted to being backward predicted." Jun teaches in section 3.1 on page 393 (left column).

Art Unit: 2672

10. Regarding dependent claim 4, "the method as claimed in claim 3 wherein the insertion of the B pictures into the bitstream to create the transition from the first anchor picture to the second anchor picture comprises randomly switching a predetermined number of macro blocks in each of the B pictures from being forward predicted to being backward predicted." Jun teaches in section 3.1 on right column, second paragraph of page 392 through 3.2 on page 393 (left and right columns).

- 11. Regarding dependent claim 5, "the method claimed in claim 1, where the first and second anchor pictures in step a) correspond to a last anchor picture in a first video sequence and a first anchor picture in a second video sequence." Jun teaches in last paragraph of section 3.1 on page 393.
- 12. Regarding dependent claim 6, "the method claimed in claim 5, where the video sequences comprise a group of still images." Jun teaches in section 4 lines 1 in first paragraph on page 393.
- 13. Regarding dependent claim 7, "the method claimed in claim 1, where the B pictures in step b) comprise macro blocks that are forward predicted, backward predicted, or interpolated." Jun teaches in section 3.1 in last paragraph, right column, page 392.
- 14. Regarding dependent claim 8, "the method claimed in claim 7, where none of the macro blocks contain DCT coefficients." Jun teaches in section 3.1 in last paragraph, right column, page 392.

Art Unit: 2672

15. Regarding dependent claim 9, "the method claimed in claim 7, where each of the macro blocks contain motion vectors that are (0,0)." Jun teaches in section 3.1 in last paragraph, right column, page 392.

- 16. Regarding dependent claim 10, it is inherent to have a computer readable media to store or transport computer readable code in a computer system. For example compact disc has been included and used in the computer systems since 1990s or magnetic data storage devices have been used since 1980s.
- 17. Regarding independent claim 11, Jun disclose in first column of page 393 last paragraph a method where a sequence of type P pictures is used "SpatDist(A) is a spatial distribution measure of the macro blocks of type A. If A is Fwd, we calculate spatial distribution measure for forward predicted macro blocks and if the A is Bwd, calculate spatial distribution measure for backward predicted macro blocks."
- 18. Claims 12-17 recite a method for encoding a transition in an MPEG bitstream sequence which are similar in scope to claims 1-10 therefore are rejected under the same rationale.
- 19. Independent claims 21 and 22 are similar to claims 1 and 11 and therefore are rejected under the same rational and also are further disclosed in last paragraph of the second column on page 392. Jun disclose in page 392 macro blocks predicted from the first anchor picture (forward type) or a previous inserted P picture and intracoded (I) macro blocks copied from the second anchor picture (backward type).

Art Unit: 2672

20. Claim 23 is rejected because applicant has admitted in the specification page 4
line 29-30 that in MPEG similar to JPEG encoding, in a predicted macro block, a
motion vector describes where in the previous anchor picture (I or P picture) to
obtain the initial prediction of the current macro block.

## Response to Arguments

- 21. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/05/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 22. Applicant argues on page 10 line 9-11 of amendment A "Claim 1 requires: 
  '...coding a transition in the bitstream by inserting only B pictures into the 
  bitstream...' Jun disclose a mixed sequence of types B and P pictures".

  Examiner disagrees because Jun teaches in section 3.1 first paragraph (on page 392) "In order to select candidates for dissolve transition in the compressed 
  domain, we examine the spatio-temporal macro block type distribution of each Btype frame which is located right before or after anchor frame in display 
  sequence." Jun further disclose "In Figure 2, each selected frame is B-type 
  frame adjacent to an anchor frame." Furthermore, Jun disclose in first column of 
  page 393 in the third paragraph "Note that only B-type frames which is adjacent 
  to anchor frames are considered in this stage."

Art Unit: 2672

23. Applicant argues on page 10 line 26-29 of amendment A "Claim 11 requires:

'...coding a transition in the bitstream by inserting only P pictures into the

bitstream...' Jun disclose a mixed sequence of types B and P pictures".

Examiner disagrees because Jun discloses in first column of page 393 last

paragraph a method where a sequence of type P pictures is used "SpatDist(A) is

a spatial distribution measure of the macro blocks of type A. If A is Fwd, we

calculate spatial distribution measure for forward predicted macro blocks and if

the A is Bwd, calculate spatial distribution measure for backward predicted macro

blocks."

- 24. Applicant argues on page 11 lines 14-16 of amendment A "Claims 14-16 each require that the pictures are comprised of macro blocks that are either intra coded or predicted. This is not compatible with the B pictures shown in Figure 1 of Jun and discussed at length in Section 3.1 of Jun." Examiner disagrees because claims 14-16 claims "the pictures are comprised of macro blocks that are either intra coded or predicted" Jun disclose in first column if page 393 in third paragraph "Based on the above two conditions on macro block type distribution, candidates for dissolve transition are selected."
- 25. Applicant argues on page 11 lines 18-20 of amendment A "Claim 14 also requires that the second anchor picture is replaced with a P picture. Where does Jun disclose replacement of an anchor picture with a P picture?"

Art Unit: 2672

Jun disclose in Fig. 1 and lines 7-8 of first paragraph in Section 3.1 page 392 "I and P frames are anchor frames, and shaded frames denote B frames adjacent to an anchor frame."

- 26. Applicant argues on page 11 line 21-24 of amendment A "Claim 15 also requires that the inserted P pictures comprise of macro blocks predicted from either the first anchor picture or a previous inserted P picture and intra coded macro blocks copied from the second anchor picture. Where is this disclosed in Jun?"

  Jun disclose in page 392 macro blocks predicted from the first anchor picture (forward type) or a previous inserted P picture and intracoded (I) macro blocks copied from the second anchor picture (backward type).
- 27. Applicant argues on page 11 line 25-27 of amendment A "Claims 16 requires that the predicted macro blocks contain motion vectors that are comprised of horizontal and vertical components that are integer multiples of 16? Where is this disclosed in Jun?" In MPEG all the macro blocks include motion vector and applicant has also admitted it in the specification page 4 lines 29-30, but motion vector comprised of horizontal and vertical component has not been described in the specification.

#### Conclusion

28. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Application/Control Number: 09/998,622

Art Unit: 2672

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 29. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 form.
- 30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faranak Fouladi whose telephone number is 703-305-3223. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reach at 703-305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/998,622

Art Unit: 2672

Page 10

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Faranak Fouladi-Semnani Patent Examiner Art Unit 2672

MICHAEL RAZAVI

SUBSTITUTION PATENT EXAMINER
ADDITIONAL CONTROL OF THE PATENT AND PATENT EXAMINER
ADDITIONAL CONTROL OF THE PATENT EXAMINER EXAMI